



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,48	2	03/30/2001	Erik Cota-Robles	042392.P9774	5734	
8791	7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAK	ELY SOKO	LOFF TAYLOR &	ALI, SYED J			
12400 V	WILSHIRE B	OULEVARD				•
SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030				2127		•

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/823,48	09/823,482 COTA		A-ROBLES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Syed J Ali		2127					
2 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	eation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) indo for reply is specified above, the maximum state of reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be timutery minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 March 2001</u> .							
2a) Tr	nis action is FINAL . 21	o)⊠ This action is n	on-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠ Th	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including t e oath or declaration is objected to				* *				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)☐ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)	· O 040\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Informati	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Po(s)/Mail Date 10/4/02; 11/12/02; 1/20/04	TO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-45 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In line 1 of claim 9, "system method" should read "system".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 9, 12, 27, 32, 41, 43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 2, 9, 12, 27, 32, 41, 43, and 45 refer to virtual machines running "arbitrary operating systems for which no soft device drivers exist on the market". An "arbitrary operating system" is so broad that it could include any operating system that has been developed. No matter what virtual machine is cited showing an operating system in common usage, a myriad of obscure and unknown operating systems could be relied upon. This limitation provides no concrete basis on which to evaluate its merits.

Art Unit: 2127

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-11, 13, 16, 19, 23-26, 31, 33-34, 36, 40, 42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bugnion et al. (USPN 6,496,847) (hereinafter Bugnion).
- 8. As per claims 1, 8, 11, 26, 31, 40, 42, and 44 Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including a hardware platform including a hardware component of a soft device (col. 7 lines 12-25);

constructing a soft device, comprising implementing a driver of the soft device in a virtual machine monitor (col. 4 lines 52-61); and

making the soft device available for use by one or more virtual machines coupled to the virtual machine monitor (col. 7 lines 12-25).

9. As per claims 3, 10, 13, 16, 19, 28, 33-34, and 36, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including exporting an emulation of a fixed function hardware device to said any of the one or more virtual machines (col. 7 lines 12-25);

Art Unit: 2127

by presenting the first virtual machine to the second virtual machine as an external, internal, or hardware device (col. 8 lines 5-19); and

emulating communication between the first virtual machine and the second virtual machine (col. 15 line 58 - col. 16 line 3).

- 10. As per claim 4, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including performing computations requested by said any of the one or more virtual machines without notifying a residual fixed function device (col. 8 lines 33-52).
- 11. As per claim 5, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including transferring an operation requested by said any of the one or more virtual machines to a residual fixed function device (col. 8 lines 33-46); and

the residual fixed function device performing the operation requested by said any of the one or more virtual machines (col. 8 lines 5-19).

12. As per claim 6, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including performing a portion of computations requested by said any of the one or more virtual machines to a residual fixed function device (col. 8 lines 5-19; col. 8 lines 33-52); and

performing a set of operations on hardware registers of a residual fixed function device to complete a task requested by said any of the one or more virtual machines (col. 9 lines 41-51).

Art Unit: 2127

13. As per claim 7, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including manipulating data stored in memory to effect zero or more transformations (col. 7 lines 32-45); and

transferring data to or from a residual hardware device using a direct memory access (DMA) technique (col. 7 lines 32-45; col. 12 lines 6-19).

- 14. As per claim 23, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including configuring the first virtual machine to match the hardware device (col. 7 lines 12-25).
- 15. As per claim 24, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including the software component of the soft device comprises at least a portion of software of a fixed function device (col. 8 line 66 col. 9 line 19).
- As per claim 25, Bugnion teaches the invention as claimed, including varying the portion of software that is used as the software component depending on how closely the first virtual machine matches the hardware device (col. 8 line 66 col. 9 line 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1

Art Unit: 2127

18. Claims 2, 9, 12, 27, 32, 41, 43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bugnion.

19. As per claims 2, 9, 12, 27, 32, 41, 43, and 45 Bugnion does not specifically

teaches the one or more virtual machines running arbitrary operating systems for which

no corresponding soft device drivers exist on the market. However, this limitation seems

to reflect that the virtual machines are configured to emulate specific peripheral devices

that have specific operating systems associated with them. While every peripheral device

on the market has a device driver associated with it such that it is able to function on its

particular system, drivers are likely not available for a virtualized version of the device.

Bugnion provides the functionality of allowing a virtual machine to emulate system

devices by virtualizing the resources associated with it, including the device drivers.

Thus, Bugnion would be able to generate the appropriate soft device driver to allow the

virtual machine to properly emulate the device.

20. Claims 14-15, 17-18, 20-22, 29-30, 35, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Bugnion in view of Lim et al. (USPN 6,795,966)

(hereinafter Lim).

21. As per claim 14-15, 17-18 20-22, 29-30, 35, and 37-39, Lim teaches the invention

as claimed, including emulating communication by providing a virtualized device (col. 7

lines 38-45; col. 14 lines 28-33) that provides a communication link between the first and

second virtual machines by linking the virtualized device to the soft device and trapping

Art Unit: 2127

and reflecting access to the virtualized devices (col. 7 lines 45-54; col. 14 lines 33-43), wherein the virtualized device is any one of a PCI card, an external USB device, an internal USB device, a network interface card, and any other standard personal computer device (col. 16 lines 16-34).

22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Bugnion and Lim as the method disclosed by Bugnion provides all the necessary tools to virtualize an entire computer system, but does not specifically mention how communication is handled between virtual machines that are acting as peripheral devices. There is a great deal of overlap in the disclosures of Bugnion and Lim, but Lim is cited to show that a virtual machine can be used to emulate any type of peripheral device and appear to the host operating system as though it were the original device. All communications that are normally routed through the peripheral device go through the virtual machine, and the virtual machine transparently communicates with the physical resources. As any component can be virtualized, the typical manner in which a device operates is inherently implemented within the virtual machine.

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3769. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2127

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syed Ali

November 30, 2004

MENT AN EXAMINER BUPERVISORY PARENT EXAMINER 2100